time in March 1984 has not been conceded so far?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS (SHRI RAM NIWAS MIRDHA): (a) It is difficult to name the Christians on whom postage stamps have been issued since personalities are not classified on the basis of religion. However, a statement showing list of the personalities which appear to be Christians, who have been remembered by way of postage stamps at the national level since the inauguration of the Republic, has been attempted on the basis of the names of the personalities and is annexed. (*See* below).

(b) and (c) No, Sir. The proposal for issue of a stamp on Prof. Rutnaswamy was received in May & July, 1985 desiring the stamp around 15th August, 1985. Since, about 8 to 10 months notice is required to process the cases for issue of such stamps, the proposals were not accepted due to shortage of time. The proposal had again been received in December, 1985 mentioning that the stamp may be issued at least in the month of August, 1986. This proposal was placed before the Philatelic Advisory Committee for consideration but the Committee did not recommend the proposal.

Statement

1. Annie Besant
2. Abraham Lincoln
3. A. O. Hume
4. Alexander Greham Bell
5. Albert Einstein
6. Charlie Cheplin
7. Charles Darwin
8. Dr. W. M. Haffkine
9. Dr. Martin Luther King
10. Deenabandhu C. F. Andrews
11. Dr. Hansen
12. Franklin Delano Roosevelt
13. Helen Keller
14. Heinrich Von Stephan
15. Henry Heras
16. Karl Marx
17. Leo Tolstoy
18. Maxim Gorky
19. Marie Curie
20. Michael Madhusudan Dutt
21. Max Muller
22. Marconi
23. Michelangelo
24. Mother Teresa
25. Moutbatten
26. Nicolaus Copernicus
27. Nicholas Roerich
28. Picasso
29. St. Thomas
30. St. Francis Xavier
31. Schubert
32. Simon Bolivar
33. V. I. Lenin
34. Sister Nivedita
35. Dr. Montessori
36. Beehoven
37. Bertrand Russel
38. Jim Corbett
39. St. Francis of Assisi
40. The Mother-Pondichery
41. Rubens
42. Rowland Hill
43. Bach & Handel

Extension of Period of Service of Calcutta Telephones

864. SHRI BIR BHADRA PRATAP SINGH: Will the Minister of COMMUNICATIONS be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question No. 100 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 21st April 1986 and state:

(a) whether any decision has been taken by the Calcutta Telephones to extend the period of service of temporary telephones to their subscribers beyond the stipulated expiry date of six months with an equal period during which their telephones remained dead or inoperative: and for which they might have represented their case or cases;

(b) if not, the reasons therefor alongwith the details of their representations;

(c) what steps are being contemplated to allow extension of the same telephones for another period of six months or so, wherever asked for by the subscribers, without doubling the rent amount;

(d) the details of the period upto which work orders for new telephone connections have been issued under '45' ond '49' Exchange of Calcutta Telephones on subscribers' applications; and

(e) the steps being taken to provide such new telephone connections expeditiously?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF COMMUNICATIONS (SHRI RAM NIWAS MIRDHA): (a) and (b) No representation appears to have been received by Calcutta Telephones for extending the period of temporary telephones beyond the stipulated expiry date with an equal period during which the telephones remained dead or inoperative.

(c) There is no such proposal under consideration of the Government.

(d) The date upto which work orders in 5/1/49 exchange area have been issued in various categories is given below:

Category	Rate
OYT-S	31-3-86
OYT-G	31-3-86
Non-OYT-S	Open
Non-OYT-SS	Open
Non-OYT-G	26-10-79

(e) The new telephone connections will be provided after expansion of '49' exchange and completion of associated underground cable work.

Guidelines for deregistering a foreign trade mark

865. SHRI SURESH KALMADI: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) what are Government's guidelines for deregistering a Foreign Trade Mark, if it has not been used for more than seven years.

(b) the number of trade marks which have been removed from the register of Trade Mark Register: and

(c) whether the Central Government give decisions on each case or the Registrar works by the guidelines?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) Section 46 of the Trade & Merchandise Marks Act, 1958 states the circumstances under which trade marks can be removed from the register on the ground of non use.

(b) Approximately twenty nine thousand trade marks have been removed from the register so far.

(c) No guidelines have been issued by the Central Government and the Registrar of Trade Marks acts in accordance with the provisions of the Trade & Merchandise Marks Act, 1958. The trade marks also stand automatically removed from the register if the renewal fees are not paid within the stipulated time.

Hybrid names under Indian Trade and Merchandise Marks Act.

866. SHRI SURESH KALMADI: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether the hybrid names like Hero-Honda, Ind-Suzuki are registered in India under the Indian Trade Mark and Merchandise Act;

(b) if so, who are the owners of these hybrid names; and

(c) whether the hybrid marks registered owners are not Indian companies or Indian persons and whether they would be classified as foreign trade marks or Indian trade marks?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) No, Sir.

(b) In the light of the reply given to (a) above, does not arise.

(c) The Trade and Merchandise Marks Act, 1958 has no separate definitions of foreign trade marks or Indian trade marks.